

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,848	03/15/2001	Srinivas Gutta	US010042	5264
24737 7	12/13/2004	•	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			KIM, PAUL L	
P.O. BOX 300 BRIARCLIFF	1 MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bidi incebii i	William, IVI 10010		2857	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{M}_{ℓ}		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Asticus Communication	09/808,848	GUTTA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Paul L Kim	2857			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl! - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a recovery within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comr BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 S	eptember 2004.				
· · · · <u> </u>	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,5-13,15-19 and 21-25 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)⊠ Claim(s) 10-13,15-19 and 23-25 is/are allowed 6)⊠ Claim(s) 1,5,7-9,21 and 22 is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) 3 and 6 is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National St	age		
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1 	52)		

Application/Control Number: 09/808,848

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 8, 9, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoshiike et al.

With regard to claims 1, 8, and 9, Yoshiike et al teaches a controller programmed to receive a monitored signal from an environmental monitor located in a monitored zone in which a person requiring supervision is located, wherein the signal includes video data (fig. 1, part 1a & 1b), the controller being programmed to produce class data by classifying an alarm condition in a monitored zone selected from a plurality of classes responsive to the signal, the alarm condition indicating an event threatening to the person (col. 12, lines 5-14), and the controller being programmed to generate an audio alarm signal responsive to the class data, to the supervisor, including a portion of the signal being immediately prior and after an incidence (col. 12, lines 30-47).

With regard to claim 5, Yoshiike et al teaches the controller being programmed to solicit an action by an occupant (col. 38, lines 41-43).

Application/Control Number: 09/808,848 Page 3

Art Unit: 2857

With regard to claim 21, Yoshiike et al teaches the transmission comprising an indication of the class (col. 42, lines 21-28).

With regard to claim 22, Yoshiike et al teaches buffering the signal (fig. 14, part 139).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshiike et al in view of Corn.

Yoshiike et al teaches monitoring an environment of a person, but does not teach the monitored activity being a lapse in breathing. Corn teaches patient monitoring system that detects a lapse of breathing (col. 1, lines 19-25 & col. 2, lines 39-42). Since Corn and Yoshiike et al are both within the art of visually monitoring a patient in an environment, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to modify Yoshiike et al, so that the patient monitoring system detects a lapse of breathing, as taught by Corn, in order to be able to detect a wide variety of disorders with a patient.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2857

5. Claims 3 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claims 10-13, 15-19, and 23-25 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: With regard to claims 10 and 13, the examiner notes that none of the prior art of record discloses an apparatus in which the environment of a person and a second person is monitored and an alarm signal is generated if the second person presents a threatening or distressing condition to the first person.

Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 7-9, 21, and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-2217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 10:00-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

Art Unit: 2857

assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PΚ

November 30, 2004

MARC S. HOFF SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TEGHNOLOGY CENTER 2800